arXiv:math/0508056v1 [math.OA] 2 Aug 2005

ON HILBERT MODULES OVER LOCALLY C^*-ALGEBRAS II

MARIA JOIŢA

Abstract

In this paper we study the unitary equivalence between Hilbert modules over a locally C^*-algebra. Also, we prove a stabilization theorem for countably generated modules over an arbitrary locally C^*-algebra and show that a Hilbert module over a Fréchet locally C^*-algebra is countably generated if and only if the locally C^*-algebra of all "compact" operators has an approximate unit.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L08, 46L05

Key words and phrases:Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras; unitarily equivalent Hilbert modules; countably generated Hilbert modules.

1 Introduction

The notion of Hilbert module over a locally C^*-algebra (inverse limit of C^*-algebras) generalizes the notion of Hilbert C^* -module by allowing the inner product to take values in a locally C^*-algebra.

In [14], Phillips showed that most basic properties of Hilbert C^*-modules are valid for Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras, such as a stabilization theorem for countably generated Hilbert modules over a locally C^*-algebra whose topology is determined by a countably family of C^* -seminorms. In [5], it is proved a stabilization theorem for countably bounded generated Hilbert modules over an arbitrary locally C^*-algebra. In this paper, we show that this theorem is true for countably generated Hilbert modules over an arbitrary locally C^*-algebra (Theorem 4.2).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some notation and preliminaries. In Section 3 we present the necessary and sufficient conditions for that two Hilbert A-modules to be isomorphic as Hilbert A-modules. Thus, we show that the Hilbert A-modules E and F are unitarily equivalent if and only if the Hilbert C^*-modules $b(E)$ and $b(F)$ are unitarily equivalent (Corollary 3.7). Also, we prove that the Hilbert A-modules E and F are unitarily equivalent if and only if there is an adjointable operator T from E into F such that T and T^* both have dense range (Proposition 3.8). In [1], Frank showed that for a Banach C^* -module E over a C^* -algebra carrying two A -valued inner-products $\langle \cdot,\cdot\rangle_1$ and $\langle \cdot,\cdot\rangle_2$ which induce equivalent to the given one norms on E the appropriate C^* -algebras $L_A(E,\langle \cdot,\cdot\rangle_1)$ and $L_A(E,\langle \cdot,\cdot\rangle_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if there is a surjective linear map S from $(E,\langle \cdot,\cdot\rangle_1)$ onto $(E,\langle \cdot,\cdot\rangle_2)$ such that $\langle S\xi,S\xi\rangle_2=\langle \xi,\xi\rangle_1$ for all ξ in E. We extend this result in the context of Hilbert modules over locally C^* -algebras (Proposition 3.9). In Section 4, we show that the stabilization theorem is also valid for countably generated Hilbert modules over an arbitrary locally C^*-algebra. Using this theorem we show that if A is unital and $b(\mathcal{H}_A)=\mathcal{H}_{b(A)}$, then a Hilbert A-module E is countably generated if and only if the Hilbert $b(A)$-module $b(E)$ is countably generated (Corollary 4.4). We know that a Hilbert C^*-module E is countably generated if and only if the C^*-algebra of all "compact" operators on E has an approximate unit. Finally we show that this result is valid in the case of Hilbert modules over Fréchet locally C^*-algebras (Proposition 4.5 and Corollary 4.5).

2 Preliminaries

First we recall some results about locally C^*-algebras from [14] and [2].

A locally C^*-algebra is a complete Hausdorff complex topological $*$-algebra A whose topology is determined by its continuous C^*-seminorms in the sense that the net $\{a_i\}_i$ converges to 0 if and only if the net $\{p(a_i)\}_i$ converges to 0 for every continuous C^*-seminorm p on A.

A Fréchet locally C^*-algebra is a locally C^*-algebra whose topology is determined by a countable family of C^* -seminorms.

Let A be a locally C^*-algebra and let $S(A)$ be the set of all continuous C^*-seminorms on A. For $p\in S(A)$, $A_p=A/\ker p$ is a C^*-algebra in the norm induced by p, and for $p,q\in S(A)$, $p\geq q$ there is a canonical morphism π_{pq} from A_p onto A_q such that $\pi_{pq}(a+\ker p)=a+\ker p$, $a\in A$. Then $\{A_p,\pi_{pq}\}_{p\geq q,p,q\in S(A)}$ is an inverse system of C^*-algebras and the locally C^*-algebras A and $\lim\limits_{\underset{p}{\leftarrow}} A_p$ are isomorphic. The canonical map from A onto A_p will be denoted by π_p.

The set $b(A)=\{a\in A; \|a\|_\infty=\sup\{p(a);p\in S(A)\}<\infty\}$ of all bounded elements of A with the C^*-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a C^*-algebra which is dense in A.

An approximate unit of A is an increasing net $\{e_i\}_{i\in I}$ of positive elements of A such that: $p(e_i)\leq 1$ for all $i\in I$ and for all $p\in S(A)$; $p(ae_i-a)\rightarrow 0$ and $p(e_ia-a)\rightarrow 0$ for all $p\in S(A)$ and for all $a\in A$. Any locally C^*-algebra has an approximate unit.

Now we will recall some results about Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras from [14], [5], [6] and [9].

Definition 2.1 *A pre-Hilbert A-module is a complex vector space E which is also a right A-module, compatible with the complex algebra structure, equipped with an A-valued inner product $\langle \cdot,\cdot\rangle : E\times E\rightarrow A$ which is $\mathbb{C}$- and A-linear in its second variable and satisfies the following relations:*

(i) $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle^* = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle$ *for every* $\xi, \eta \in E$;
(ii) $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ *for every* $\xi \in E$;
(iii) $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$ *if and only if* $\xi = 0$.

We say that E *is a Hilbert* A*-module if* E *is complete with respect to the topology determined by the family of seminorms* $\{\overline{p}_E\}_{p \in S(A)}$ *where* $\overline{p}_E(\xi) = \sqrt{p(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)}, \xi \in E$.

Remark 2.2 *If* A *is not unital and* E *is a Hilbert* A*-module, then* E *becomes a Hilbert* A^+*-module, where* A^+ *is the unitization of* A*, if we define* $\xi 1 = \xi$. *Moreover,* EA *is a pre-Hilbert* A *-module which is dense in* E.

Let E be a Hilbert A-module. For $p \in S(A)$, $\mathcal{E}_p = \{\xi \in E; p(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) = 0\}$ is a closed submodule of E and $E_p = E/\mathcal{E}_p$ is a Hilbert A_p-module with: $(\xi + \mathcal{E}_p)\pi_p(a) = \xi a + \mathcal{E}_p$ and $\langle \xi + \mathcal{E}_p, \eta + \mathcal{E}_p \rangle = \pi_p(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)$. The canonical map from E onto E_p will be denoted by σ_p^E.

For $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, there is a canonical morphism of vector spaces σ_{pq}^E from E_p onto E_q such that $\sigma_{pq}^E(\sigma_p^E(\xi)) = \sigma_q^E(\xi)$, $\xi \in E$. Then $\{E_p$; A_p; $\sigma_{pq}^E\}_{p \geq q; p,q \in S(A)}$ is an inverse system of Hilbert C^*-modules in the following sense: $\sigma_{pq}^E(\sigma_p^E(\xi)\pi_p(a)) = \sigma_{pq}^E(\sigma_p^E(\xi))\pi_{pq}(\pi_p(a)), \xi \in E, a \in A$; $\langle \sigma_{pq}^E(\sigma_p^E(\xi)), \sigma_{pq}^E(\sigma_p^E(\eta)) \rangle = \pi_{pq}(\pi_p(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)), \xi, \eta \in E; \sigma_{pp}^E = \mathrm{id}_{E_p}$; $\sigma_{qr}^E \circ \sigma_{pq}^E = \sigma_{pr}^E$ if $p \geq q \geq r$, and $\lim\limits_{\overleftarrow{p}} E_p$ is a Hilbert A-module with: $(\sigma_p^E(\xi))_p (\pi_p(a))_p = (\sigma_p^E(\xi a))_p$ and $\left\langle (\sigma_p^E(\xi))_p, (\sigma_p^E(\eta))_p \right\rangle = (\langle \sigma_p^E(\xi), \sigma_p^E(\eta) \rangle)_p$. Moreover, the Hilbert A-modules E and $\lim\limits_{\overleftarrow{p}} E_p$ may be identified.

The set $b(E) = \{\xi \in E; \|\xi\|_\infty = \sup\{\overline{p}(\xi); p \in S(A)\} < \infty\}$ of all bounded elements of E is a Hilbert $b(A)$-module.

Let E and F be Hilbert A-modules. We will denote by $L_A(E, F)$ the set of all adjointable A-module homomorphisms from E into F, and we write $L_A(E)$ for $L_A(E, E)$.

For $p \in S(A)$, define $(\pi_p)_*$ from $L_A(E, F)$ into $L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$ by $(\pi_p)_*(T)$ $(\xi + \mathcal{E}_p) = T\xi + \mathcal{F}_p$, $\xi \in E$ and for $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, define $(\pi_{pq})_*$ from $L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$ into $L_{A_q}(E_q, F_q)$ by $(\pi_{pq})_*(T_p)(\xi + \mathcal{E}_q) = \sigma_{pq}^F(T_p(\xi + \mathcal{E}_p)), \xi \in E$. Then $\{L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p); (\pi_{pq})_*\}_{p \geq q, p, q \in S(A)}$ is an inverse system of Banach spaces and if we consider on $L_A(E, F)$ the topology determined by the family of seminorms $\{\widetilde{p}\}_{p \in S(A)}$, where $\widetilde{p}(T) = \|(\pi_p)_*(T)\|_{L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)}$, $\|\cdot\|_{L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)}$ being the operator norm on $L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$, $L_A(E, F)$ may be identified to $\lim\limits_{\overleftarrow{p}} L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$. Thus topologized, $L_A(E)$ becomes a locally C^*-algebra.

The set $b(L_A(E, F)) = \{T \in L_A(E, F); \|T\|_\infty = \sup\{\widetilde{p}(T); p \in S(A)\} < \infty\}$ of all bounded elements in $L_A(E, F)$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$.

By definition, the set of all "compact" operators $K_A(E)$ on E is defined as the closure of the set of all finite linear combinations of the operators

$$\{\theta_{\xi,\eta}; \theta_{\xi,\eta}(\zeta) = \xi \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle, \xi, \eta, \zeta \in E\}.$$

It is a locally C^*-subalgebra and a two-sided ideal of $L_A(E)$ and moreover $K_A(E)$ may be identified to $\lim\limits_{\overleftarrow{p}} K_{A_p}(E_p)$.

If E and F are Hilbert A -modules, then we can form the direct sum $E \oplus F$. This is a Hilbert A -module with $(\xi \oplus \eta)a = \xi a \oplus \eta a$, $\xi \in E, \eta \in F$, $a \in A$ and $\langle \xi_1 \oplus \eta_1, \xi_2 \oplus \eta_2 \rangle = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle + \langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in E, \eta_1, \eta_2 \in F$.

3 Unitarily equivalent Hilbert A-modules

Let A be a locally C^* -algebra and let E and F be Hilbert A -modules.

Definition 3.1 *An operator $U \in L_A(E,F)$ is said to be unitary if $U^*U = id_E$ and $UU^* = id_F$.*

Remark 3.2 *If U is an element in $L_A(E,F)$, then U is unitary if and only if $(\pi_p)_*(U)$ is a unitary element in $L_{A_p}(E_p, F_p)$ for each $p \in S(A)$.*

From [12], Theorem 3.5 and Remark 3.2, we obtain:

Proposition 3.3 *Let E and F be Hilbert A -modules and let U be a linear map from E into F. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *U is unitary;*
2. *$\langle U\xi, U\xi \rangle = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle$ for all $\xi \in E$ and U is surjective;*
3. *$\overline{p}_F(U\xi) = \overline{p}_E(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in E$ and U is a surjective A -linear map.*

Definition 3.4 *We say that the Hilbert A-modules E and F are unitarily equivalent, and we write $E \approx F$, if there is a unitary operator in $L_A(E,F)$.*

Remark 3.5 *If $E \approx F$, then $E_p \approx F_p$ for all $p \in S(A)$.*

Proposition 3.6 *The set $\mathcal{U}_A(E,F)$ of all unitary operators from E to F is isomorphic as set with $\mathcal{U}_{b(A)}(b(E), b(F))$, the set of all unitary operators from $b(E)$ to $b(F)$.*

Proof. By [9] Theorem 3.9, the map $\Psi : b(L_A(E,F)) \to L_{b(A)}(b(E), b(F))$ defined by $\Psi(T) = T|_{b(E)}$ is an isometrically isomorphism of Banach spaces. Clearly $\mathcal{U}_A(E,F) \subseteq b(L_A(E,F))$. It is not hard to check that the restriction of Ψ on $\mathcal{U}_A(E,F)$ is an isomorphism of set from $\mathcal{U}_A(E,F)$ onto $\mathcal{U}_{b(A)}(b(E), b(F))$. ■

Corollary 3.7 *Let E and F be Hilbert A -modules. Then E and F are unitarily equivalent if and only if $b(E)$ and $b(F)$ are unitarily equivalent.*

The following proposition is a generalization of Proposition 3.8, [12] in the context of Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras.

Proposition 3.8 *The Hilbert A-modules E and F are unitarily equivalent if and only if there is an element T in $L_A(E,F)$ such that T and T^* have dense range.*

Proof. If $E \approx F$, then there is a unitary operator U in $L_A(E,F)$. Since U is unitary, U and U^* are surjective and so U and U^* have dense range.

Conversely, if T and T^* have dense range, then, by [8], Theorem 2.8, T has a polar decomposition. Therefore $T = U|T|$, where U is a partial isometry in $L_A(E,F)$ such that U^*U is the projection of E on $\overline{TE}$ and UU^* is the projection of F on $\overline{|T|E}$. Since T and T^* have dense range and $\overline{T^*E} = \overline{|T|E|}$, U is a unitary operator in $L_A(E,F)$ and so $E \approx F$. ■

Let E be a complex vector space which is also right A -module, compatible with the structure of complex algebra and equipped with two an A -valued inner-products $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1$ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2$ which induce either a structure of Hilbert A -module on E. We denote by E_1 the Hilbert A -module $(E,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_1)$ and by E_2 the Hilbert A -module $(E,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2)$.

The following proposition is a generalization in the context of Hilbert modules over locally C^* -algebras of a result of M. Frank [1].

Proposition 3.9 *Let E be as above. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *The Hilbert A -modules E_1 and E_2 are unitarily equivalent.*
2. *The locally C^* -algebras $K_A(E_1)$ and $K_A(E_2)$ are isomorphic.*
3. *The locally C^* -algebras $L_A(E_1)$ and $L_A(E_2)$ are isomorphic.*
4. *The C^* -algebras $L_{b(A)}(b(E_1))$ and $L_{b(A)}(b(E_2))$ are isomorphic.*
5. *The C^* -algebras $K_{b(A)}(b(E_1))$ and $K_{b(A)}(b(E_2))$ are isomorphic.*
6. *The Hilbert $b(A)$ -modules $b(E_1)$ and $b(E_2)$ are isometrically isomorphic as Banach $b(A)$ -modules.*
7. *The Hilbert $b(A)$ -modules $b(E_1)$ and $b(E_2)$ are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. 1. ⇒ 2. Since E_1 and E_2 are unitarily equivalent, there is a unitary operator U in $L_A(E_1,E_2)$. It is not hard to check that the map Φ from $K_A(E_1)$ to $K_A(E_2)$ defined by $\Phi(T) = UTU^*$ is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras.

2. ⇒ 3. Let Φ be an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras from $K_A(E_1)$ onto $K_A(E_2)$. By [4], Lemmas 2.4, 2.7 and Corollary 2.6, there is a unique isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras $\overline{\Phi} : M(K_A(E_1)) \rightarrow M(K_A(E_2))$, where $M(K_A(E_i))$ denotes the locally C^* -algebra of all multipliers of $K_A(E_i)$, $i = 1,2$, such that $\overline{\Phi}|_{K_A(E_1)} = \Phi$.

On the other hand, the locally C^* -algebras $M(K_A(E_1))$ and $L_A(E_1)$ are isomorphic as well as $M(K_A(E_2))$ and $L_A(E_2)$ ([14],Theorem 4.2). Therefore the locally C^* -algebras $L_A(E_1)$ and $L_A(E_2)$ are isomorphic.

3. $\Rightarrow$ 4. If the locally C^* -algebras $L_A(E_1)$ and $L_A(E_2)$ are isomorphic, then the C^* -algebras $b(L_A(E_1))$ and $b(L_A(E_2))$ are isomorphic ([14], Corollary 1.13). But $b(L_A(E_i))$ is isomorphic with $L_{b(A)}(b(E_i))$, $i = 1, 2$ ([7], Theorem 3.3). Therefore the C^* -algebras $L_{b(A)}(b(E_1))$ and $L_{b(A)}(b(E_2))$ are isomorphic.

The implications 4. $\Rightarrow$ 5. $\Rightarrow$ 6. were proved in [1], the equivalence 6. $\Leftrightarrow$ 7. was proved in [11] and the implication 7. $\Rightarrow$ 1. was showed in Corollary 3.7. ■

As in the case of Hilbert C^* -modules, the Hilbert A -module $\mathcal{H}_A = \{(a_n)_n; \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^* a_n$ is convergent in $A\}$ plays a special role in the theory of Hilbert modules over locally C^* -algebras. For each $p \in S(A)$, the Hilbert A_p -modules $\mathcal{H}_{A_p}$ and $(\mathcal{H}_A)_p$ are unitarily equivalent, and moreover, the Hilbert A -modules $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\lim\limits_{\overleftarrow{p}} \mathcal{H}_{A_p}$ unitarily equivalent (see [14], Section 4).

Lemma 3.10 *Let A be a non unital locally C^* -algebra and let E be a Hilbert A -module. If A^+ is the unitization of A, then the Hilbert A -modules $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\overline{\mathcal{H}_{A^+} A}$ are unitarily equivalent as well as $E \oplus \mathcal{H}_A$ and $\overline{(E \oplus \mathcal{H}_{A^+})\, A}$.*

Proof. It is not hard to check that the map U from $\mathcal{H}_{A^+} A$ to $\mathcal{H}_A$ defined by $U((a_n)_n b) = (a_n b)_n$ extends by continuity to a unitary from $\overline{\mathcal{H}_{A^+} A}$ to $\mathcal{H}_A$ and the map V from $(E \oplus \mathcal{H}_{A^+})\, A$ to $E \oplus \mathcal{H}_A$ defined by $V((\xi \oplus (a_n)_n) b) = \xi \oplus (a_n b)_n$ extends to a unitary from $\overline{(E \oplus \mathcal{H}_{A^+})\, A}$ to $E \oplus \mathcal{H}_A$. ■

Remark 3.11 *Let A be a locally C^* -algebra. Then $\mathcal{H}_{b(A)}$ is a closed submodule of $b(\mathcal{H}_A) = \{(a_n)_n; \sum\limits_n a_n^* a_n$ converges in A to an element in $b(A)\}$. In general $\mathcal{H}_{b(A)}$ does not coincides with $b(\mathcal{H}_A)$.*

Example 1. *Let $A = C(\mathbb{Z}^+)$. Then A equipped with the topology determined by the family of C^* -seminorm $\{p_n\}_n$, where $p_n(f) = \sup\{|f(k)|; 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ is a locally C^* -algebra.*

For each positive integer n, we consider the function f_n from $\mathbb{Z}^+$ to $\mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$f_n(m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \end{cases} .$$

It is easy to check that $\sum\limits_n |f_n|^2$ is convergent in A. Hence $(f_n)_n$ is an element in $b(H_A)$.

Since

$$\sup\{\sum_{n \geq n_0} |f_n|^2 (m); m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} = 1$$

for any positive integer n_0, $\sum\limits_n |f_n|^2$ is not convergent in $b(A)$, and so $(f_n)_n \notin H_{b(A)}$. Therefore $H_{b(A)} \subsetneqq b(H_A)$.

Example 2. *Let $A = C_{cc}[0, 1]$ be the set of all* complex *continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ endowed with the topology "cc" of uniform convergence on the countable compact subsets of $[0, 1]$. Then A is a locally C^* -algebra .*

If $(f_n)_n \in b(H_A)$, *then* $\sum\limits_n |f_n|^2$ *is convergent in* A, *and by Dini's theorem it is uniformly convergent. Therefore* $\sum\limits_n |f_n|^2$ *is convergent in* $b(A)$ *and so* $(f_n)_n \in H_{b(A)}$. *Hence* $H_{b(A)} = b(H_A)$.

4 Countably generated Hilbert A-modules

Let A be a locally C^* -algebra and let E be a Hilbert A -module. A subset G of E is a generating set for E if the closed submodule of E generated by G is the whole of E. We say that E is countably generated if it has a countable generating set.

LEMMA 4.1 *If* E *is countably generated then it has a generating set contained in* $b(E)$. *Moreover,* E_p *is countably generated for each* $p \in S(A)$.

Proof. Let $\{\xi_n;\ n = 1, 2, ...\}$ be a generating set for E. According to [3], Proposition 3.2, for each positive integer n there is a sequence $\{\xi_n^m\}_m$ in $b(E)$ such that $\xi_n^m \to \xi_n$. Then $\{\xi_n^m; n, m = 1, 2, ...\}$ is a generating set for E.

Let $p \in S(A)$. Since the canonical map σ_p from E onto E_p is a surjective continuous map and $\sigma_p(\xi a) = \sigma_p(\xi)\pi_p(a)$ for all $\xi \in E$ and $a \in A$, $\{\sigma_p(\xi_n); n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is a generating set for E_p, and so E_p is countably generated. ■

Now, using Lemma 4.1 and Kasparov's theorem for countably generated Hilbert C^*-modules, we prove a stabilization theorem for countably generated Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras.

THEOREM 4.2 *If* A *is a locally* C^* *-algebra and* E *is a countably generated Hilbert* A *-module then* $\mathcal{H}_A \approx E \oplus \mathcal{H}_A$.

Proof. First we suppose that A has a unity 1.

For each positive integer n we denote by e_n the element in $\mathcal{H}_A$ which has all the components zero except at the n^{th} component which is 1.

Let $\{\xi_n; n = 1, 2, ... \}$ be a generating set for E with each element repeated infinitely often. According to Lemma 4.1, we can suppose that ξ_n is bounded and $\|\xi_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ for all positive integer n. Then $\{\sigma_p(\xi_n); n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is a generating set for E_p with each element repeated infinitely often and $\|\sigma_p(\xi_n)\|_{\overline{p}} \leq 1$ for all positive integer n, and for each positive integer n, $\sigma_p^{\mathcal{H}_A}(e_n)$ is the element in $\mathcal{H}_{A_p}$ which has all the components zero except at the n^{th} component which is 1. By Kasparov's theorem for countably generated Hilbert C^* -modules, there is a unitary operator U_p from $\mathcal{H}_{A_p}$ to $E_p \oplus \mathcal{H}_{A_p}$. Moreover, according to the proof of this theorem (see, for instance [], Theorem 6.2), we can choose U_p such that $U_p|T_p| = T_p$, where T_p is an element in $L(\mathcal{H}_{A_p}, E_p \oplus \mathcal{H}_{A_p})$ defined by

$$T_p = \sum_n \theta_{2^{-n}\sigma_p^E(\xi_n) \oplus 4^{-n}\sigma_p^{\mathcal{H}_A}(e_n), \sigma_p^{\mathcal{H}_A}(e_n)}$$

and

$$|T_p| = (T_p^* T_p)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is not hard to check that $(T_p)_p$ is a coherent sequence in $L(\mathcal{H}_{A_p}, E_p \oplus \mathcal{H}_{A_p})$ and so $(|T_p|)_p$ is a coherent sequence in $L(\mathcal{H}_{A_p})$.

Knowing that for each $p \in S(A)$, $|T_p|$ has dense range, from

$$(\pi_{pq})_*(U_p)|T_q| = (\pi_{pq})_*(U_p|T_p|) = (\pi_{pq})_*(T_p) = T_q = U_q|T_q|$$

for all $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, we conclude that $(U_p)_p$ is a coherent sequence in $L(\mathcal{H}_{A_p}, E_p \oplus \mathcal{H}_{A_p})$. Therefore the Hilbert A -modules $\lim\limits_{\underset{p}{\leftarrow}} \mathcal{H}_{A_p}$ and $\lim\limits_{\underset{p}{\leftarrow}} (E_p \oplus \mathcal{H}_{A_p})$ are unitarily equivalent and since the Hilbert A -modules $\mathcal{H}_A$ and $\lim\limits_{\underset{p}{\leftarrow}} \mathcal{H}_{A_p}$ are unitarily equivalent as well as $E \oplus \mathcal{H}_A$ and $\lim\limits_{\underset{p}{\leftarrow}} (E_p \oplus \mathcal{H}_{A_p})$, the theorem is proof in this case.

If A is not unital, let A^+ be the unitization of A. Since E can be regarded as a Hilbert A^+ -module, by the first part of the proof there is a unitary operator U^+ from $\mathcal{H}_{A^+}$ to $E \oplus \mathcal{H}_{A^+}$. Let U be the restriction of U^+ on $\overline{\mathcal{H}_{A^+} A}$. Then U is a unitary operator from $\overline{\mathcal{H}_{A^+} A}$ to $\overline{(E \oplus \mathcal{H}_{A^+})A}$ and by Lemma 3.10, $\mathcal{H}_A$ is unitarily equivalent with $E \oplus \mathcal{H}_A$. ■

Remark 4.3 *If E is a Hilbert A -module such that $b(E)$ is countably generated, then clearly E is countably generated.*

If E is a countable generated Hilbert A -module, then is $b(E)$ a countably generated Hilbert $b(A)$ -module? We solve this problem in a particular case when $b(\mathcal{H}_A) = \mathcal{H}_{b(A)}$.

Corollary 4.4 *Let A be a unital locally C^*-algebra such that $b(\mathcal{H}_A) = \mathcal{H}_{b(A)}$ and let E be a Hilbert A -module. If E is countably generated, then $b(E)$ is countably generated.*

Proof. From Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 3.7, we conclude that $b(E \oplus \mathcal{H}_A) \approx b(\mathcal{H}_A)$. It is easy to see that $b(E \oplus \mathcal{H}_A) \approx b(E) \oplus b(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and so $b(E) \oplus b(\mathcal{H}_A) \approx b(H_A)$. But $b(H_A)$ is countably generated, since A is unital and so $b(A)$ is unital, and consequently $b(E)$ is countably generated. ■

It is well-known that a Hilbert C^* -module E over a C^* -algebra A is countably generated if and only if $K_A(E)$ has a countably approximate unit ([10]). We show that this result is valid for Hilbert modules over Fréchet locally C^* -algebras.

Proposition 4.5 *Let A be a locally C^* -algebra and let E be a Hilbert A -module. Then:*

1. *If E is countably generated, then $K_A(E)$ has a countable approximate unit.*
2. *If A is a Fréchet locally C^* -algebra and if $K_A(E)$ has a countable approximate unit, then E is countably generated.*

Proof. 1. According to Remark 2.2 we can suppose that A is unital.

Let $p \in S(A)$ and $T_p = \sum\limits_n 2^{-n}\theta_{\sigma_p^{\mathcal{H}_A}(e_n),\sigma_p^{\mathcal{H}_A}(e_n)}$, where, for each positive integer n, e_n denotes the element in $\mathcal{H}_A$ which has all the components zero except at the n^{th} component which is 1. Since T_p is a strictly positive element in $K_{A_p}(\mathcal{H}_{A_p})$ (see [12], pp. 66), $\{T_p^{\frac{1}{n}}\}_n$ is an approximate unit of $K_{A_p}(\mathcal{H}_{A_p})$ (see [13], 3.10.4-3.10.5). It is easy to check that for each n, $(T_p^{\frac{1}{n}})_p$ is a coherent sequence in $K_{A_p}(\mathcal{H}_{A_p})$, and so $\{T^{\frac{1}{n}}\}_n$, where $T^{\frac{1}{n}} = (T_p^{\frac{1}{n}})_p$is an approximate unit for $K_A(\mathcal{H}_A)$.

If E is countably generated, by Theorem 4.2, E may be identified with a complemented submodule of $\mathcal{H}_A$. Let $P \in L(\mathcal{H}_A, E)$ be the projection from $\mathcal{H}_A$ onto E. It is easy to verify that $\{PT^{\frac{1}{n}}P^*\}_n$ is an approximate unit for $K_A(E)$.

2. Let $\{V_n\}_n$ be an approximate unit of $K_A(E)$ and let $T = \sum\limits_n 2^{-n}\ V_n$. Clearly $T \in K_A(E)$. We will show that T has dense range.

Let $p \in S(A)$. Since $\{(\pi_p)_*(V_n)\}_n$ is an approximate unit of $K_{A_p}(E_p)$, $(\pi_p)_*(T)$ has dense range (see [13], 3.10.4-3.10.5 and [3], Lemma 1.1.21). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{TE} &= \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ p}} \overline{\sigma_p^E(TE)} = \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ p}} \overline{(\pi_p)_*(T)(E_p)} \\ &= \lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ p}} E_p = E.\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{X}$ means the closure of the vector subspace X with respect to the topology induced by the inner product. Therefore T has dense range.

Let $\{p_n\}_n$ be a cofinal subset of $S(A)$. Since $T \in K_A(E)$, for each positive integer n, there are $\xi_1^n, ..., \xi_{m_n}^n\ \eta_1^n, ..., \eta_{m_n}^n$ in E such that

$$\widetilde{p_n}\left(T - \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \theta_{\xi_k^n,\eta_k^n}\right) < \frac{1}{2n}.$$

We show that $\{\xi_k^n; 1 \le k \le m_n, n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is a system of generators for E.

Let $\xi \in E$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and let n_0 be a positive integer. Since T has dense range, there is $\eta \in E$ such that $\overline{p_{n_0}}(\xi - T\eta) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Let $n = \max\{n_0, [\frac{\overline{p_{n_0}}(\eta)}{\varepsilon}] + 1\}$, where $[t]$ means the integer part of the positive number t. Then $p_{n_0} \le p_n$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{p_{n_0}}\left(\xi - \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \xi_k^n \langle \eta_k^n, \eta\rangle\right) &\le \overline{p_{n_0}}\,(\xi - T\eta) + \overline{p_{n_0}}\left(T\eta - \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \theta_{\xi_k^n,\eta_k^n}(\eta)\right) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \overline{p_{n_0}}\,(\eta)\,\widetilde{p_{n_0}}\left(T - \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \theta_{\xi_k^n,\eta_k^n}\right) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \overline{p_{n_0}}\,(\eta)\,\widetilde{p_n}\left(T - \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} \theta_{\xi_k^n,\eta_k^n}\right) < \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\{\xi_k^n; 1 \leq k \leq m_n, n = 1, 2, ...\}$ is a system of generators for E and therefore E is countably generated. ■

COROLLARY 4.6 *Let A be a Fréchet locally C^* -algebra and let E be a Hilbert A -module. Then E is countably generated if and only if $K_A(E)$ has a countable approximate unit.*

References

[1] M. FRANK, *Isomorphisms of Hilbert C^* -modules and $*$ -isomorphisms of related operator C^* -algebras,* Math. Scand., **80**(1997),2, 313-319.

[2] A. INOUE, *Locally C^*-algebras*, Mem. Faculty Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A, **25**(1971),197-235.

[3] K.K. JENSEN and K. THOMSEN, *Elements of KK -theory,* Birhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1991.

[4] M. JOIŢA, Locally Hopf C*-algebras, Stud. Cerc. Mat. **50**(1998), 3-4, 175-196,

[5] M. JOIŢA, *On Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras,* An. Univ. Bucuresti, Mat. **49** (2000),1, 41-51.

[6] M. JOIŢA, *The stabilisation Theorem for Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras,* The Third International Conference on Topological Algebras and Applications, ICTAA3, Finland, Oulu, 1-6, July, 2001.

[7] M. JOIŢA, *On the bounded part of a Hilbert module over a locally C^*-algebra*, Periodica Math. Hungarica. **45**(2002), 1-2, 81-85

[8] M. JOIŢA, *Projections on Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras,* Math. Reports. **4(54)**,(2002), 4, 373-378.

[9] M. JOIŢA, *On bounded module maps between Hilbert modules over locally C^*-algebras,* Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae. In press.

[10] G. G. KASPAROV, *Hilbert C^*-modules: theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu* , *J.* Operator Theory*,* **4**(1980), 133-150.

[11] E. C. LANCE, *Unitary operators on Hilbert C^*-modules*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **26**(1994), 363-366.

[12] E. C. LANCE, *Hilbert C^*-modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists,* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995.

[13] G. K. PEDERSEN, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1979.

[14] N. C. Phillips, *Inverse limits of C^*-algebras*, J. Operator Theory, **19**(1988), 159-195.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Bucharest, Bd. Regina Elisabeta nr.4-12, Bucharest, Romania, mjoita@fmi.unibuc.ro